

ESG Now Podcast

“Net-Zero Tracker 2024”

Transcript, 16 August, 2024

Mike Disabato: What's up everyone? And welcome to the weekly edition of ESG Now, where we discuss how the environment, our society and corporate governance affects and are affected by our economy. I'm your host Mike Deto, and this week we discuss the latest net zero tracker, a periodic report on the progress the world's listed companies are making toward curbing climate risk. Thanks as always for joining us. Stay tuned.

Mike Disabato: We put out this report periodically that's called the net zero tracker. It's like a report card for thousands of listed companies on how much progress they're making toward curbing climate risk. It looks at emissions, the state of disclosures, target setting, all of that. And we just published our most recent net zero tracker. And so for you all today, I call up one of the reports authors, a colleague of mine named Sylvan Vanston, and I asked him to take me through this year's report. And before he got into all the numbers, he immediately started telling me about how different this report was from the last one, mainly due to one reason this year they focused on what it's called, transition finance. Now what is transition Finance you say, and why the hell should I care? Well, I asked that very question to Sylvan.

Sylvain Vanston: The reason is it's because it's quite different from trying to do simple decarbonization strategy. So what is a decarbonization strategy? Typically, many investors have committed to transition to net zero transition, their investment strategy decision making to net zero by a given date. And for this, they have various strategies at their disposal and usually what happens is that they will be using what's called carbon footprint, which is a way to apportion the carbon emissions of a given set of issuers, say in a portfolio or in an index back to the actual investor and try to reduce those emissions, those finance emissions over time. Now, transition finance is a bit different because it is trying to support the transition to a low carbon economy by supporting players which are actually actively decarbonize decarbonizing their activities. That doesn't mean that they will lower their footprints today, their carbon footprints today, but will do so in the future.

Mike Disabato: That's a big difference because it means including unquote dirty companies in a portfolio that are working to become clean, IE less pollutive. And in doing so, you will build a portfolio around long-term sustainable considerations such as helping our economy reduce its emissions in a real way. This would move investors further away from the overly simplified idea that a company is bad if it pollutes and good if it doesn't pollute, and would instead encourage a more nuanced strategy where active decarbonize, if I can use that word, are an interesting investment opportunity as they are on the front lines of climate change. Now, contrast this with already low polluting like banking that doesn't have much to do to decarbonize their own operations, though they might get hit with transition risks via their own loan portfolios that are highly pollutive, but that's another conversation. What transition finance looks at are the sectors that are most difficult to decarbonize and are trying to do so such as cement, steel,

mining companies that have high emissions and absolute terms but are needed for building our society and don't have great substitutes at the moment that we can replace 'em with.

Mike Disabato: And what the tracker says is investors need to look at these industries and find out which ones are really working hard to reduce their emissions. Think of a cement company that's working to lower the emissions of its furnaces by installing more efficient ones. Now this can be quite hard. It can be costly. So positive investor tension would be useful for these companies to continue to transition to a lower carbon operation. That whole process of selection and identification is behind the idea of transition. Finance and investing with that idea is much easier said than done. First, you have to figure out which players are actually doing this in earnest because a company could theoretically just hide behind the shield of transition while continues business as usual. So you want to make sure you can understand which companies are making progress and which are not at the moment.

Mike Disabato: Luckily, the net zero tracker goes into some methods to do this. Three to be exact, there's the net zero investment framework or NZ developed by the Paris Aligned Investment Initiative. There's the number of companies setting science-based climate targets. You could look at how many companies those are, or you could use what are called temperature alignment metrics, which look at how the world would warm if everyone polluted like a specific company was polluting. Now, those are the three lenses that the net zero tracker looks at, but there's one method within those three that Silva and Juan to focus on today, and that is the net zero investment framework or commonly called NI.

Sylvain Vanston: So the NZI framework, what are we talking about? It's a classification framework. So all issuers in a given portfolio are classified along the lines of some sort of a maturity scale, which is broken down into five discrete buckets, five categories. They go from not aligning, committed to aligning, aligning to a net zero pathway aligned to a net zero pathway and achieving net zero. And obviously this is a progression. And now each of these five buckets is defined according to six criteria. So five buckets based on six criteria. Let me give you an example to be permitted to aligning. Obviously you need to have a long-term goal consistent with the global goal of achieving net zero by 2050, a stated public goal, and to be aligning to a net zero pathway, which is one bucket up. You need to also have a decarbonization strategy, which is a quantified set of measures, achieving short and medium term science-based targets. To reduce GHG emissions, you need to disclose all of your scope one, two, and three emissions. These are indirect emissions and so on. So each of those five buckets tick several criteria of

Mike Disabato: Course, and it's easy to get lost in five buckets with six criteria associated with them. So let me simplify it a bit. Think of this framework as a maturity scale, and you can use it to see how grown up your company is at the far end. You have the youngest, what Sylvain is going to call the first bucket. A lot companies without a commitment to decarbonize in a way that can actually achieve net zero emissions. That's the first bucket. Saudi Aramco is a company that's in the first bucket. Then you travel along the maturity scale to companies that have a long-term goal. Dominion energy is in the second bucket there. Then you have companies which have a goal that has been verified by a reputable body like the science-based target initiative. That's the third bucket. An Airbus is in the third bucket, for example. Then you get a bit more mature and you have companies that have a goal, it has been verified, and they actually have an emissions track record which shows they're decarbonizing at a rate that could get them to net zero in 2050.

Mike Disabato: Nestle is in that bucket. That's the fourth bucket. And finally, you have the most mature, most accomplished bucket. The companies that are already at net zero, and sadly no company is in this bucket. Now the ends, if those are the five buckets I just talked about, part of the ends, if this is a framework for investors, it's for them to think about decarbonization. It hasn't gone out there and done all the categorization of publicly listed companies for investors to go look at and assess. But as you could tell by me being able to give you some examples of companies that are in each individual bucket, we've been able to do that for you.

Sylvain Vanston: So earlier in the year, what we did is we developed this mapping tool and then we applied it to one of our biggest index, which is the MSCA acqui IMI, over 10,000 issuers listed issuers. What we found is that the bulk of the issuers of the world's largest listed issuers fall into the very first category of not aligning. So that's 84%. That's what we found as of May, 2024, 84% of MSCI Acquia in my issuers, don't even only make it to the first bucket, which is you don't take any of the six criteria. So that obviously is a bit of a disappointment, but considering that the criteria to achieve the first layer committed to align, you need to have at least one climate goal consistent with net zero by 2050 for a start. This is not for everybody. And then if you go down the list, you see obviously for example, 8% of issuers are falling to this first bucket, committed to aligning 3% only aligning to a net zero pathway, 5% aligned to net zero pathway and so on. If we focus on the largest, the 100 largest listed issuers, then obviously we see a lot more progress. We find that we only 43% fall in the first bucket of not aligning, and then the rest follows. So there's a lot more, let's say, alignment with the climate goals as defined by the Ns. If when you go up the market cap

Mike Disabato: Rule, and that may be due to the bias of resources where larger companies are going to be able to draft out their decarbonization plan in a much more robust manner than smaller companies. But besides all that, let's go back to the broad statement that Silvan just said about global companies where 85% of them are in that first maturity bucket, meaning they are not aligned with any spectrum of the net zero pathway. Now that is tough, but what about for those sectors that I said were the most important to think about for transition finance, the ones that are the hardest to decarbonize and those that investors might benefit most from by allocating capital their way if they're making progress? Well, if you look at the industrial sector where many of those hard to decarbonize companies are grouped like minings in the industrial sector for example, you see that over 80% of them are not aligned with any spectrum of the net zero pathway, and it basically follows the global numbers for the other ends of buckets. Basically, the hard to decarbonize sectors have made about as much progress as the global economy has made, which means investors don't have many companies to choose from that are doing what is necessary to move up that maturity spectrum as defined by the net zero investment framework, niv, which when I brought this problem up to silvan, I was kind of concerned about it. I thought it would complicate things, but he didn't feel the same way.

Sylvain Vanston: It doesn't necessarily complicate, but the way people might use this is, for example, they will have as an investor, I mean I'm not talking here about the corporates themselves, the issuers, but as an investor, some of our clients, for example, some investors have developed targets that are based on the niv. So for example, we have a testimony in the net zero tracker, French asset manager est, they have a specific goal to achieve X percent of issuers in their portfolio to achieve X, Y, Z levels within the Ns I and to do this to support that goal, obviously they will reallocate, they will shift their portfolio, but they will also engage. So we should really view the NI framework, not so much just as a bucketing or categorization effort, but also as an engagement, a tool for engagement.

Mike Disabato: What Sylvain is saying here is that a large asset owner that was net zero conscious could figure out which companies are responsible for the largest portion of the emissions in their portfolio, and then they could engage with them and point to the net zero investment framework and get them to try to move up the maturity scale and become more aligned with net zero principles. And if that didn't work, they could decide to move their assets toward companies that are already moving up the maturity scale on their own. And in keeping with the transition finance theme, they could make sure that those movers are the big polluting companies that we need to decarbonize if we want the slow climate change. And the theory is with all that, that an investor could capture the growth opportunities at a company that was decarbonizing and thus innovating. It will of course be difficult at first. There aren't a lot of companies to choose from. Even broadening our discussion away from the net zero investment framework and looking at the other net zero lenses, the Tracker talks about, we see there aren't really many companies setting verified targets to the carbonize or actively reducing their emissions to the point where we could keep our world below two degrees Celsius warming. There's more out there than last year. Sure, but the list is still pretty short.

Sylvain Vanston: Every time we publish a tracker, we see progress, but also lack of progress. In some areas, we see progress when it comes to disclosures, when it comes to target setting, we always see this, but we also see record, high record high emissions. So that's not a fatality. I mean, it might go another way, but that's what needs to change.

Mike Disabato: What might be needed for it to go another way are policies that produce a level playing field and a mix of decarbonization activity in the real economy that investors can actually capture and support. Maybe with the net zero investment framework with NIV that we spent basically the entire episode on, there can be an easier identification process for investors to find the companies that are doing just that. And with attention can come growth and with growth, then maybe we could see more than a quarter of companies with a strong net zero track record in the coming years. Who knows? Time will tell. All remain optimistic else. I'll go mad. And that's it for the week. I wanted to thank Ban for talking to me about the news with the sustainability twist. I wanted to thank you so much for listening. If you like what you heard, don't forget to rate and review us and subscribe wherever you get your podcasts. Thanks again and talk to you soon.

Speaker 3: The M-S-C-I-E-S-G research podcast is provided by MSCI, Inc. Subsidiary M-S-C-I-E-S-G research, LLCA registered investment advisor on the Investment Advisors Act of 1940. And this recording and data mentioned herein has not been submitted to nor received approval from the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. The analysis discussed should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance analysis, forecast, or prediction. Information contained in this recording is not for reproduction in whole or in part without prior written permission from M-S-C-I-E-S-G research. None of the discussion or analysis put forth in this recording constitutes an offer to buy or sell or promotional recommendation of any security financial instrument or product or trading strategy. Further, none of the information is intended to constitute investment advice or recommendation to make or refrain from making any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on As such, the information provided here is as is and the use of the information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the information. Thank you.

About MSCI

MSCI is a leading provider of critical decision support tools and services for the global investment community. With over 50 years of expertise in research, data and technology, we power better investment decisions by enabling clients to understand and analyze key drivers of risk and return and confidently build more effective portfolios. We create industry-leading research-enhanced solutions that clients use to gain insight into and improve transparency across the investment process. To learn more, please visit www.msci.com.

This document and all of the information contained in it, including without limitation all text, data, graphs, charts (collectively, the "Information") is the property of MSCI Inc. or its subsidiaries (collectively, "MSCI"), or MSCI's licensors, direct or indirect suppliers or any third party involved in making or compiling any Information (collectively, with MSCI, the "Information Providers") and is provided for informational purposes only. The Information may not be modified, reverse-engineered, reproduced or disseminated in whole or in part without prior written permission from MSCI. All rights in the Information are reserved by MSCI and/or its Information Providers.

The Information may not be used to create derivative works or to verify or correct other data or information. For example (but without limitation), the Information may not be used to create indexes, databases, risk models, analytics, software, or in connection with the issuing, offering, sponsoring, managing or marketing of any securities, portfolios, financial products or other investment vehicles utilizing or based on, linked to, tracking or otherwise derived from the Information or any other MSCI data, information, products or services.

The user of the Information assumes the entire risk of any use it may make or permit to be made of the Information. NONE OF THE INFORMATION PROVIDERS MAKES ANY EXPRESS OR IMPLIED WARRANTIES OR REPRESENTATIONS WITH RESPECT TO THE INFORMATION (OR THE RESULTS TO BE OBTAINED BY THE USE THEREOF), AND TO THE MAXIMUM EXTENT PERMITTED BY APPLICABLE LAW, EACH INFORMATION PROVIDER EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, ANY IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF ORIGINALITY, ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, NON-INFRINGEMENT, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE) WITH RESPECT TO ANY OF THE INFORMATION.

Without limiting any of the foregoing and to the maximum extent permitted by applicable law, in no event shall any Information Provider have any liability regarding any of the Information for any direct, indirect, special, punitive, consequential (including lost profits) or any other damages even if notified of the possibility of such damages. The foregoing shall not exclude or limit any liability that may not by applicable law be excluded or limited, including without limitation (as applicable), any liability for death or personal injury to the extent that such injury results from the negligence or willful default of itself, its servants, agents or sub-contractors.

Information containing any historical information, data or analysis should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of any future performance, analysis, forecast or prediction. Past performance does not guarantee future results.

The Information should not be relied on and is not a substitute for the skill, judgment and experience of the user, its management, employees, advisors and/or clients when making investment and other business decisions. All Information is impersonal and not tailored to the needs of any person, entity or group of persons.

None of the Information constitutes an offer to sell (or a solicitation of an offer to buy), any security, financial product or other investment vehicle or any trading strategy.

It is not possible to invest directly in an index. Exposure to an asset class or trading strategy or other category represented by an index is only available through third party investable instruments (if any) based on that index. MSCI does not issue, sponsor, endorse, market, offer, review or otherwise express any opinion regarding any fund, ETF, derivative or other security, investment, financial product or trading strategy that is based on, linked to or seeks to provide an investment return related to the performance of any MSCI index (collectively, "Index Linked Investments"). MSCI makes no assurance that any Index Linked Investments will accurately track index performance or provide positive investment returns. MSCI Inc. is not an investment adviser or fiduciary and MSCI makes no representation regarding the advisability of investing in any Index Linked Investments.

Index returns do not represent the results of actual trading of investible assets/securities. MSCI maintains and calculates indexes, but does not manage actual assets. The calculation of indexes and index returns may deviate from the stated methodology. Index returns do not reflect payment of any sales charges or fees an investor may pay to purchase the securities underlying the index or Index Linked Investments. The imposition of these fees and charges would cause the performance of an Index Linked Investment to be different than the MSCI index performance.

The Information may contain back tested data. Back-tested performance is not actual performance, but is hypothetical. There are frequently material differences between back tested performance results and actual results subsequently achieved by any investment strategy.

Constituents of MSCI equity indexes are listed companies, which are included in or excluded from the indexes according to the application of the relevant index methodologies. Accordingly, constituents in MSCI equity indexes may include MSCI Inc., clients of MSCI or suppliers to MSCI. Inclusion of a security within an MSCI index is not a recommendation by MSCI to buy, sell, or hold such security, nor is it considered to be investment advice.

Data and information produced by various affiliates of MSCI Inc., including MSCI ESG Research LLC and Barra LLC, may be used in calculating certain MSCI indexes. More information can be found in the relevant index methodologies on www.msci.com.

MSCI receives compensation in connection with licensing its indexes to third parties. MSCI Inc.'s revenue includes fees based on assets in Index Linked Investments. Information can be found in MSCI Inc.'s company filings on the Investor Relations section of msci.com.

MSCI ESG Research LLC is a Registered Investment Adviser under the Investment Advisers Act of 1940 and a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. Neither MSCI nor any of its products or services recommends, endorses, approves or otherwise expresses any opinion regarding any issuer, securities, financial products or instruments or trading strategies and MSCI's products or services are not a recommendation to make (or refrain from making) any kind of investment decision and may not be relied on as such, provided that applicable products or services from MSCI ESG Research may constitute investment advice. MSCI ESG Research materials, including materials utilized in any MSCI ESG Indexes or other products, have not been submitted to, nor received approval from, the United States Securities and Exchange Commission or any other regulatory body. MSCI ESG and climate ratings, research and data are produced by MSCI ESG Research LLC, a subsidiary of MSCI Inc. MSCI ESG Indexes, Analytics and Real Estate are products of MSCI Inc. that utilize information from MSCI ESG Research LLC. MSCI Indexes are administered by MSCI Limited (UK).

Please note that the issuers mentioned in MSCI ESG Research materials sometimes have commercial relationships with MSCI ESG Research and/or MSCI Inc. (collectively, "MSCI") and that these relationships create potential conflicts of interest. In some cases, the issuers or their affiliates purchase research or other products or services from one or more MSCI affiliates. In other cases, MSCI ESG Research rates financial products such as mutual funds or ETFs that are managed by MSCI's clients or their affiliates, or are based on MSCI Inc. Indexes. In addition, constituents in MSCI Inc. equity indexes include companies that subscribe to MSCI products or services. In some cases, MSCI clients pay fees based in whole or part on the assets they manage. MSCI ESG Research has taken a number of steps to mitigate potential conflicts of interest and safeguard the integrity and independence of its research and ratings. More information about these conflict mitigation measures is available in our Form ADV, available at <https://adviserinfo.sec.gov/firm/summary/169222>.



Any use of or access to products, services or information of MSCI requires a license from MSCI. MSCI, Barra, RiskMetrics, IPD and other MSCI brands and product names are the trademarks, service marks, or registered trademarks of MSCI or its subsidiaries in the United States and other jurisdictions. The Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS) was developed by and is the exclusive property of MSCI and S&P Global Market Intelligence. "Global Industry Classification Standard (GICS)" is a service mark of MSCI and S&P Global Market Intelligence.

MIFID2/MIFIR notice: MSCI ESG Research LLC does not distribute or act as an intermediary for financial instruments or structured deposits, nor does it deal on its own account, provide execution services for others or manage client accounts. No MSCI ESG Research product or service supports, promotes or is intended to support or promote any such activity. MSCI ESG Research is an independent provider of ESG data.

Privacy notice: For information about how MSCI collects and uses personal data, please refer to our Privacy Notice at <https://www.msci.com/privacy-pledge>.